## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI SOUTHERN DIVISION

CRAIG O. COPLEY,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	Civil Action
	)	No. 05-3061-CV-S-RED-H
ROBERT MCFADDEN, Warden, et al.,	)	
	)	
Respondents.	)	

## ORDER AND JUDGMENT

Pursuant to the governing law and in accordance with Local Rule 72.1 of the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, the petition was referred to the United States Magistrate for preliminary review under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

The United States Magistrate has completed his preliminary review of the petition and has submitted to the undersigned a report and recommendation that the petition be dismissed without prejudice.

Petitioner has filed exceptions to the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation in which he continues to challenge his mental health commitment. Petitioner also reasserts his claim for damages, which are not available in a suit involving habeas corpus relief.

Having fully reviewed the record <u>de novo</u>, this Court agrees with the Magistrate's findings that the petition should be dismissed without prejudice. Regardless of petitioner's assertions, the record indicates that petitioner was properly committed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4246 for mental health care and treatment by the District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina. <u>United States v. Copley</u>, 935 F.2d 669 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1991). Therefore, this Court lacks jurisdiction of his complaints regarding the fact or duration of that commitment. Habeas corpus

relief on these issues is available, if at all, in the committing district.

Therefore, after a full review of the report and recommendation and the files and records

in this case, it is concluded that the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and proposed actions of

the Magistrate are correct and should be approved. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that petitioner's exceptions should be, and they are hereby, CONSIDERED

and OVERRULED. It is further

ORDERED that petitioner should be, and he is hereby, denied leave to proceed in forma

pauperis. It is further

ADJUDGED that the petition herein should be, and it is hereby, dismissed without

prejudice.

/s/ Richard E. Dorr

RICHARD E. DORR

United States District Judge

Date: June 28, 2005